GLOBUS

Globus An International Journal of Medical Science, Engineering and Technology
A Refereed Research Journal
Vol 10 / No 2 / Jul-Dec 2021 ISSN: 2319-8958

STRUCTURAL FAILURE OF BUILDINGS: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES

* Pranav Srivastava
** Dr Rajesh Prakash Dubey

Paper Received: 11.11.2021 / **Paper Accepted**: 02.12.2021 / **Paper Published**: 05.12.2021
Corresponding Author: Pranav Srivastava; doi:10.46360/globus.met.320212017

Abstract

The present paper explains about structural failure of buildings. Buildings are constructed to withstand specific loads without deforming to an unacceptable degree. The weight of people and items, the weight of precipitation such as rain and snow, and the pressure exerted by wind are all examples of live loads. The weight of the building itself, known as the dead load, is also a load. When it comes to buildings with only a few levels, strength and appropriate rigidity typically go hand in hand. The primary focus of the design is on a roof that can keep the elements out while spanning expansive open areas. When it comes to tall buildings with a lot of floors, the roof isn't as important of a factor as the structure's ability to withstand the weight of the building itself. Tall buildings, similar to lengthy bridges, are at risk of collapsing in a catastrophic manner.

Keywords: Structural, Building, Poor Construction, Failure.

Introduction

In order to assist study, the factors that led to the collapse of the structure might be categorized under various general areas. These include a poor design, poor construction, failure of the foundation, an unusual load, an unanticipated failure mode, and a combination of these factors. Bad design does not just refer to errors in computation; it can also refer to a failure to take into account the loads that the structure will be called upon to carry, erroneous theories, reliance on inaccurate data, ignorance of the effects of repeated or impulsive stresses, and an improper choice of materials or a misunderstanding of the properties of those materials. These errors, which were introduced on the drawing board, are the engineer's responsibility to correct.

The failure and collapse of buildings all across the world have been attributed to two different types of phenomena: natural and man-made. The natural occurrences that set off the natural phenomena element include earthquakes, typhoons, and tsunamis, among other natural occurrences, and when they take place, they are considered to be the natural disaster. The aspect that was caused by man is the result of negligence on the part of humans in the areas of soil type testing, building design and planning for extra loads and stress from strong wind and earthquakes for tall buildings, foundation works, quality of building materials, lack of inadequate monitoring of craftsmen, and poor quality of workmanship.

There is a consensus among academics and experts working in the sector regarding the reasons for building failures and collapses. The use of unqualified contractors and inadequate project monitoring, as well as a lack of enforcement of building codes by the relevant authorities and/or industry professionals, have been identified as common causes of building failure. These causes have been connected back to common causes of building failure. Common causes of building failure include: poor design; faulty construction; foundation failure; extraordinary loads; use of unqualified contractors; and. Building failures could be the result of a defect at any one or more of the stages in the building's design, approval of drawings, supervision, or construction.

* *Research Scholar, CMJ University, Shillong, India.*
***Supervisor, CMJ University, Shillong, India.*

Tragic cases of building failures or collapses that have been recorded in major cities and towns have been blamed on either the developers for failing to comply with construction regulations or professional builders, architects, and engineers, as well as government agencies whose role it is to ensure compliance. This has been the case regardless of whether the developers were responsible for the building in question. Some customers have a propensity for taking short cuts, such as not engaging skilled staff to draft the contract paperwork and supervise the building construction. This is because these customers prefer to spend the least amount of money possible on the construction project, rather than the most. Even in cases where highly trained experts have the ultimate say on matters pertaining to the site, this might be to the harm of the correct performance of the contract.

Figure 1: Collapse of Buildings

The compromising attitude of some employees of the town planning authority is connected to the scenario described above. Additionally, there are no punishments in place for erring professionals or landlords. Inadequate building materials, particularly cement and reinforcement rods, can play a significant role in the collapse of a structure. Recent research lends credence to the following statement: "one of the causes of structural failure is the use of materials of poor quality." The input of labor that is both inefficient and fraudulent contributes to the failure of the structure. Anything can go wrong when a contractor is unable to interpret the designs for a project or when they refuse to listen to the advice of the experts. Other corrupt practices in the built environment and the building industry that are direct consequences of building failures and collapse include the illegal alteration of existing buildings, the approval of technically deficient drawings, the construction of buildings without building drawings, structural drawings that are deficient, and the alteration of drawings that have already been approved. Buildings are doomed to collapse if their structural plans are based on incorrect assumptions about the strength of the soil, or if their structural details are flawed.

Literature Review

Kale (2015) These days, large buildings such as skyscrapers are becoming increasingly popular in the major cities around India. Because of their proximity to the shore, many of the world's most important cities fall within the active seismic zone, which poses a significant challenge for the construction of high-rise, multi-story structures. The manual investigation of such a complicated structure is too strenuous and time consuming to be practical. It is of the utmost importance to find a solution to this problem as soon as possible. Therefore, the wind and seismic evaluations of the structures were performed by the cutting-edge program known as CSI ETABS. Under the guidelines of IS-875-Part3 and IS1893-2002-Part1, the ETABS will generate and test four distinct shapes of the same region multistorey model for this proposed study. Research has been done on the behavior of buildings of 15, 30, and 45 storeys. The Response spectrum method can also be used to find the dynamic effects. Calculations are performed on all of the factors, including story displacement, story drift, base shear, overturning moments, acceleration, and time period. After analyzing the outcomes of each building shape, we have come to the conclusion that the most important factor is either the wind effect or the seismic effect, depending on which one is most convenient. Numerous investigations and studies have been carried out in order to reduce the occurrence of excitations and to enhance the resistance of tall buildings to the effects of wind loads and earthquake loads. Modifications to a building's aerodynamics, such as those made to the building's corner geometry & its cross-sectional form, are one of the strategies that are considered to be among the most essential and effective design approaches. The construction of tall buildings is a massive undertaking that demands extraordinary levels of logistics and administration, in addition to a substantial amount of capital expenditure. It is possible that significant cost savings could be realized through the precise coordination of a building's structural elements and its design, with the goal of minimizing lateral displacement. The issue of developing an effective and energy-efficient tall skyscraper in the modern day has significantly shifted. In the past, the typical method for designing tall buildings was to restrict the forms about the building to largely rectangular shapes. However, in today's world, it is possible to use far more complex building geometries.

Ahmed (2007) In this article, an approximate hand approach for seismic analysis of an asymmetric building structure that maintains its attributes throughout its height is described. The structure in question has consistent characteristics all the way up its height. Shear walls and open-section structures with thin walls provide the building with the necessary stiffness to support its weight. The governing equations of free vibration and the eigenvalue problem that corresponds to it can be determined using the continuum technique and d'Alembert's principle. A generalized method is proposed for the free vibration analysis of coupled vibration of a building that is braced by shear walls and thin-walled open section structures. This method is accomplished by utilizing the Galerkin methodology. For the purpose of calculating the circular frequencies and internal forces of a building structure when it is being shaken by earthquakes, simplified formulae are provided. An application of the method is shown to be useful, as well as accurate, through the use of a numerical example, in which the method in question is contrasted with computations using finite elements.

Analysis

The most significant factor contributing to the collapse of the structure was poor construction. If the inspection was performed carelessly, the engineer is partly to blame for this situation. This includes the use of salty sand to build concrete, the substitution of inferior steel for that which was stipulated, poor riveting or even improper tightening tension of nuts, excessive use of the drift pin to make holes line up, poor welds, and a variety of other behaviors that are well known to construction workers. A poor foundation will make it impossible for any construction, no matter how well it was designed or built, to remain standing. Even while the structure can support its loads, the ground underneath it might not be able to.

It's true that the Tower of Pisa is a well-known illustration of poor foundations, but there are plenty of other examples as well. However, after sinking at least 20 feet into the soft soil below it, the old armory in St. Paul, Minnesota, did not collapse. The displacements that can occur as a result of poor foundations have the potential to drastically alter the stress distribution. This was such an issue with railway bridges in America that statically determinate trusses were significantly favoured since they were not subject to this risk. This was because they were not susceptible to the same problem. The forces that cause extraordinary loads are frequently the result of the natural environment, such as the shaking caused by an earthquake or the winds of a hurricane. A structure that is supposed to stand for a certain number of years need to be able to deal with these difficulties.

In the event of an earthquake, a weak and flexible structure has a better chance of avoiding destruction than a solid and masonry-based building does. When water-filled terrain liquefies as a result of an earthquake, it can create foundational issues. We just had a situation that serves as a good example of unexpected failure modes, which are the most complex of the reasons for the collapse. Until the features of a novel form of structure are fully understood, it is possible for that structure to fail in ways that were not anticipated. It felt like the best solution to bridge big gaps would be suspension bridges. Everything was held together by a sturdy wire that was under tension, a member that was dependable and well understood. However, unfortunate events demonstrated that the bridge deck was able to gallop and twist without being constrained by the supporting wires. Because of this factor, the Ellet's bridge in Wheeling, which was built in the 1840s, and the Tacoma Narrows bridge, which was built in the 1940s, both fell into the river. To ensure that the conservative, strong, and statically determinate trusses are as robust and secure as they possibly can be, pinconnected eyebars were incorporated into their design.

The upsetting experience opened my eyes to the fact that I concentrated most of my stress at the holes that had been pierced in the eye bars. It has been known from the beginning of time that members of the tension have nothing surprising to offer. They are doomed to fail because they come apart when the strain within them gets excessive. If you are aware of the tension, then you will have no trouble proportioning a member.

Columns, which are compression members, are not the same. It will continue to bear its burden until it is crushed if it is short and squat. But you are in for a rude awakening if you attempt to support a weight with a column that is twelve feet tall but will only hold the load if the column is one foot tall.

The weight toppling to the ground occurs as a result of the column buckling or bending outward. In the past, most tall buildings have been constructed with a solid steel skeleton that is then clad in the lightest materials possible in order to keep the elements out. An alternative to this is the use of reinforced concrete, which consists of tough, tension-resistant steel that is incorporated into a single body and is surrounded by compression-resistant concrete that acts as a protective barrier. These kinds of buildings have never collapsed (when they were built the right way on solid foundations) and are extremely resistant to destruction. When the bottom supports of a steel skeleton are broken, it gives the impression that the weight of the building is crushing the lower portions, while the top elements slowly sink into the pile of debris. Buildings made of monolithic reinforced concrete are notoriously tough to bring down in any way.

Conclusion

Efficient management of clinical core services in the There was neither a steel skeleton nor reinforced concrete employed in the construction of the World Trade Center skyscrapers. They were intended to be in the shape of square tubes and were constructed out of substantial, hollow welded sections; the floors of the structure served to prevent them from buckling. Since the towers needed to be secure against winds and other lateral forces that may topple them, massive foundations were built that went all the way down to the bedrock. All of this was taken into consideration during the planning and building processes, both of which appear to have been done very well. A bomb that was detonated at the base in an effort to cause damage to the buildings had almost little effect. Because of the solid base and foundation, any such attack would be easily repelled, which is exactly what happened. The impact of aircraft on the higher stories only had a local effect and did not compromise the integrity of the buildings, which continued to be solid even after being hit. Because of the flames, the steel became brittle, and as a result, some of the floors were abruptly subjected to a load for which they had not been intended. The sagging floors caused the steel modules to be pushed outwards and become detached from the floor beams as a result. After that, the floor below it collapsed on top of it, pulling out the steel walls, and this continued in a manner analogous to how a house of cards would fall apart. The main structure came tumbling down at almost the same velocity as the concrete and steel modules that made up the rubble, which fell like rain. In around 15 seconds, the building was reduced from 110 stories to a pile nine stories high, which was primarily composed of steel wall modules and whatever else was nearby. The collapse of the south tower occurred 47 minutes after the collision, whereas the collapse of the north tower occurred 1 hour 44 minutes after the impact.

The passage of time demonstrates that the impacts were not the immediate cause of the building's collapse; the sturdy structure was able to withstand them without any difficulty. Even if only one corner of a level were compromised and collapsed, the instability would quickly spread around the circle of the building, resulting in the destruction of the structure. It is obvious that structures constructed in this manner have a catastrophic mode of failure (also known as a "house of cards"), which means that their development in the future should be prohibited. It is triggered whenever there is a partial collapse at any level that disrupts the continuity of the tube, which then rolls up quickly, working its way from top to bottom. The collapse has a mode of propagation that quickly includes the entire structure, circumventing the structure's key strengths and making it impossible to stop. There is no requirement for a commercial airplane because all that is required is an explosion. There were central tubes in the towers that were used for elevators and other services; however, these tubes did not appear to play a significant part in the collapse and were not visible in the images or wreckage of the buildings.

Conflicts of Interest Disclosure

The authors declare that there are no significant competing financial, professional, or personal interests that might have influenced the performance or presentation of the work described in this manuscript.

References

1. Rungta, N. (2010). Guidelines Committee A. Kale and, S. A. Rasal, Seismic & Wind Analysis of Multistory Building: A Review. International Journal of Science and Research, 6(3), 1894-1896.
2. Oldfield, P. (2019). The Sustainable Tall Building: A Design Primer. Oxford: Routledge.
3. Robinson, J. and Safarik, D. (2014). Learning from 50 years of Hong Kong skybridges, CTBUH J., 21-24.
4. Sameer, Pardeshi and Gore, Prof. N.G. (2016). Study of seismic analysis and design of multi storey symmetrical and asymmetrical building. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), 03(01).
5. Majumder, Sanhik Kar and Guha, Prof. Priyabrata (2014). Comparison Between Wind and Seismic Load on Different Types of Structures. International Journal of Engineering Science Invention, 3(4), 41-54.
6. Cesare, D., Ponzo, F.C. and Telesca, A. (2020). Improving the earthquake resilience of isolated buildings with double concave curved surface sliders. Engineering Structures, 228, 111498-111504.
7. Meftah, Sid Ahmed, Tounsi, Abdelouahed, & Abbas, Adda Bedia El (2007). A simplified approach for seismic calculation of a tall building braced by shear walls and thin-walled open section structures. Engineering Structures, 29(10), 2576-2585, ISSN 0141-0296, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2006.12.014.
8. Zerdi, Prof Tanveer Asif, Ali, Mohammad Tayyab, Aejaz, Mohammed Shahid Ali & Jamal, Mudassar (2016). Seismic Analysis of Multi-Storeyed Building (G+15) With Regular and Irregular Frame Structure. Indian Journal of Applied Research, 6(5).